

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,144	01/28/2004	Koji Maruyama	04329.3230	9724
22852 7590 01/11/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			DUNN, MISHAWN N	
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	11, 20 20001 1712	•	2621	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,144	MARUYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mishawn N. Dunn	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> .				
,	·				
, , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9,10 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 	e: a) accepted or b) ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	∧ □				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04,8/05,1105. 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

10/765,144 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al. (US Pat. No. 6,804,795) in view of Yamauchi et al. (US Pat. No. 6,020,982).
- 3. Consider claim 1. Kawamura et al. teaches a video data recording apparatus comprising: a first encode control section which uses an encoder to encode broadcasted video data; a first recording section which records the encoded video data; a decoder which decodes the video data recorded in the first recording section; a broadcasting system conversion section which converts the decoded video data to video data of a broadcasting system other than that of the video data (fig. 3).

Kawamura et al. does not teach a second encode control section which uses the encoder to encode the video data of the other broadcasting system converted by the broadcasting system conversion section; and a second recording section which records the encoded video data of the other broadcasting system.

However, Yamauchi et al. teaches a second encode control section which uses the encoder to encode the video data of the other broadcasting system converted by the

10/765,144 Art Unit: 2621

broadcasting system conversion section; and a second recording section which records the encoded video data of the other broadcasting system (col. 9, lines 28-35; fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to encode the video data of the other broadcasting system converted by the broadcasting system conversion section and a second recording section which records the encoded video data of the other broadcasting system, in order to satisfy versatile requests of users.

- 4. Consider claim 2. Kawamura et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising: a selection section which selects one of the broadcast video data and the video data of the other broadcasting system converted by the broadcasting system conversion section, wherein the first encode control section selects the broadcast video data by the selection section, and the second encode control section selects the video data of the other broadcasting system by the selection section (col. 6, lines 55-60)
- 5. Consider claim 3. Kawamura et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising: a data supplement section which supplements the video data selected by the selection section to supply standard video data of the same broadcasting system as that of the selected video data (fig. 3).
- 6. Consider claim 5. Yamauchi et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the data supplement section detects the broadcasting system of input video data to supplement the data in accordance with the detected broadcasting system (col. 9, lines 28-35; fig. 1).

10/765,144 Art Unit: 2621

- 7. Consider claim 6. Kawamura et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the data supplement section detects a synchronous data interval of the input video data to detect the broadcasting system of the input video data (col. 15, lines 29-34).
- 8. Consider claim 8. Yamauchi et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the first recording section includes a hard disc drive (fig. 90, v2), and the second recording section includes a DVD recorder (fig. 1, 802).
- 9. Consider claim 11. Kawamura et al. teaches the video data recording apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising: a second selection section which selects one of the video data outputted from the broadcasting system conversion section and the MPEG decoder to supply the video data to the selection section (col. 6, lines 55-60).
- 10. Claim 13 is rejected using similar reasoning as the corresponding claim above.
- 11. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al. (US Pat. No. 6,804,795) in view of Yamauchi et al. (US Pat. No. 6,020,982) in further view of Yamauchi (US Pat. No. 5,956,090).
- 12. Consider claim 4. Kawamura et al. and Yamauchi et al. teach all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the data supplement section includes a PAL system data supplement section which supplements PAL system video data, and an NTSC system data supplement section which supplements NTSC system video data.

However, Yamauchi teaches wherein the data supplement section includes a PAL system data supplement section which supplements PAL system video data, and

10/765,144 Art Unit: 2621

an NTSC system data supplement section which supplements NTSC system video data (col. 3, lines 46-50; col. 4, lines 32-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to include a PAL system data supplement section which supplements PAL system video data, and an NTSC system data supplement section which supplements NTSC system video data, in order to easily view video depending on the type of system used.

13. Consider claim 7. Kawamura et al. and Yamauchi et al. teach all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the broadcasting system conversion section includes a PAL/NTSC conversion section and an NTSC/PAL conversion section.

However, Yamauchi teaches wherein the broadcasting system conversion section includes a PAL/NTSC conversion section and an NTSC/PAL conversion section (col. 3, lines 46-50; col. 4, lines 32-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to include a PAL/NTSC conversion section and an NTSC/PAL conversion section, in order to easily view video depending on the type of system used.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 9, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10/765,144 Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mishawn N. Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mishawn Dunn January 6, 2008 SUPERIOR LYMINER